- and that the company operates and manages maritime prepositioning ships.
- 4. Defendant General Dynamics admits only that it is a lawfully existing entity and that its relationship to AMSEA is described above in paragraph 3.

4848-0096-7168.1.000901-00030

26

27

28

Case 1:05	-cv-00024 Document 4 Filed 08/29/2005 Page 2 of 6	
5.	Defendants have no response to the "John Doe" allegations.	
6.	Defendants have insufficient knowledge to either admit or deny the Court has	
personal juriso	diction over the Plaintiff.	
7.	Defendant deny the allegations of paragraph 7.	
8.	Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 8.	
9.	The Court's jurisdiction over this action is a matter of law.	
10.	Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 10.	
11.	Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 11.	
12.	Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 12.	
13.	Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 13.	
14.	Defendants admit the allegations of paragraph 14, except with regard to the	
present location of the vessel.		
15.	Defendants admit Plaintiff's status as a "QMED" on the vessel.	
16.	Defendants admit that if plaintiff performed his duties properly, he contributed to	
the operation of the vessel.		
17.	Defendants admit only that plaintiff sustained an injury while on board the vessel.	
18.	Defendants admit only that the job plaintiff was assigned to do required	
installation of a pipe which was stored on board the vessel.		
19.	Defendants admit only that the job plaintiff was assigned to do required	
installation of	a pipe which was stored on board the vessel.	

Defendants admit only that the job plaintiff was assigned to do required 20. installation of a pipe which was stored on board the vessel.

21. Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 21.

22. Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 22.

24

25

26

27

28

- 23. Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 23.
- 24. Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 24.
- 25. Defendants admit the vessel's medical officer treated plaintiff for an injury, but deny the remaining allegations of paragraph 25.
- 26. Defendants have insufficient knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 26.
- 27. Defendants admit plaintiff received shore-side medical treatment but deny the remaining allegations of paragraph 27.
- 28. Defendants admit plaintiff received shore-side medical treatment from Dr. Landstrom but are unable to admit or deny the remaining allegations of paragraph 28.
- 29. Defendants admit plaintiff received shore-side medical treatment from Dr. Landstrom but are unable to admit or deny the remaining allegations of paragraph 29.
- 30. Defendants admit plaintiff received shore-side medical treatment but are unable to admit or deny the remaining allegations of paragraph 30.
- 31. Defendants admit plaintiff received shore-side medical treatment from Dr. Landstrom, returned to work, and subsequently sought additional medical treatment, but are unable to admit or deny the remaining allegations of paragraph 31.
- 32. Defendants admit plaintiff received shore-side medical treatment from Dr. Landstrom but are unable to admit or deny the remaining allegations of paragraph 32.
- 33. Defendants admit plaintiff received shore-side medical treatment but are unable to admit or deny the remaining allegations of paragraph 33.
- 34. Defendants admit plaintiff received shore-side medical treatment for an injury to his finger, but deny the finger has been "rendered useless."
 - 35. Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 35.

	Case 1:05-cv-00024 Document 4 Filed 08/29/2005 Page 4 of 6
1	36. Defendants incorporate by reference the answers to paragraphs 1-35.
2	37. Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 37.
3	38. Defendants admit certain duties are owed to persons performing work on board
4	the vessel and, with respect to plaintiff, deny any such duty was breached.
5	39. Defendants admit certain duties are owed to persons performing work on board
6	
7	the vessel and, with respect to plaintiff, deny any such duty was breached.
8	40. Defendants admit certain duties are owed to persons performing work on board
9	the vessel and, with respect to plaintiff, deny any such duty was breached.
10	41. Defendants admit certain duties are owed to persons performing work on board
11 12	the vessel and, with respect to plaintiff, deny any such duty was breached.
13	42. Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 42.
14	43. Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 43.
15	44. Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 44.
16	45. Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 45.
17	46. Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 46.
18	47. Defendants incorporate by reference the answers to paragraphs 1-46.
19	48. Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 48.
20	49. Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 49.
21	DEFENSES
22	1. The complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
2324	
25	2. Plaintiff is barred from maintaining this action against his employer and the vessel
26	because he was not a Jones Act seaman at the time of the alleged incident.
27	3. Plaintiffs injuries were either caused or contributed to by Plaintiffs own
28	negligence and comparative fault thus barring or reducing Plaintiff's claims and damages
	4848-0096-7168.1.000901-00030 4.

and applicable common law, which may become apparent as discovery is conducted.

and costs of defense to Defendants, and grant Defendants additional relief as may be appropriate in the circumstances.

DATED: Capitol Hill, Saipan, August 29, 2005.

CARLSMITH BALL LLP

VID LEDGER ØHN D. OSBORN Attorneys for Defendants

American Overseas Marine Corporation and General Dynamics Corporation

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

5.

4848-0096-7168.1.000901-00030

	Case 1:05-cv-00024 Document 4 Filed 08/29/2005 Page 6 of 6
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	The undersigned hereby certifies that on the 29th day of August 2005, I will cause to be
3	served, via hand delivery, a true and correct copy of DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO
4	COMPLAINT and DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL upon the following Counsels of record:
5	
6	William M. Fitzgerald, Esq. Law Office of William M. Fitzgerald
7	1st Floor, Macaranas Building Post Office Box 909
8	Saipan, MP 96950
9	and
10	Bruce Berline, Esq. Law Office of Bruce Berline
11	1st Floor, Macaranas Building Post Office Box 5682 CHRB
12	Garapan, Saipan MP 96950
13	DATED: Capitol Hill, Saipan, August 29, 2005.
14	
15	JOHN D. OSBORN
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	4848-0096-7168.1.000901-00030 6.